



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA VA 22320-4850

MAILED
AUG 30 2011
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Thomas C. Fall
Application No. 09/973,135
Filed: October 9, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 149939

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed August 24, 2011 under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application, filed concurrently a petition under 37 CFR 1.182 for expedited consideration of the petition.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned as a result of petitioner's failure to file an appeal brief (and fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)) within the time period provided in 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). As an appeal brief (and appeal brief fee) was not filed within two (2) months of the Notice of Appeal filed February 6, 2006. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The appeal was dismissed and the proceedings as to the rejected claims were terminated. See 37 CFR 41.37(b). As no claim was allowed, the application became abandoned on April 7, 2006. See MPEP 1215.04. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed November 3, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a RCE (Request for Continued Examination, with the required fee of \$810, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the RCE is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The petition to expedited consideration under 37 CFR 1.182 is **GRANTED**.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1776 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received August 12, 2011.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: John S. Kern
277 South Washington Street, Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314